

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,579	07/0)2/2003	Brett M. Rose	2083	5443
39597	7590	07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
OLSON AN			PAHNG, JASON Y		
5412 SE FOS PORTLAND				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE 3725	
	,				
				DATE MAIL ED-07/12/2004	•

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,579	ROSE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason Y. Pahng	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimonially will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	1ay 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for form	al matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 18 and 20-23 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 19 and 24 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.	
Application Papers			•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) object	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the o	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form P	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been receiv	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been receiv	ed in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	rity documents hav	e been received in this Nationa	al Stage
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified cop	ies not received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	terview Summary (PTO-413) sper No(s)/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		otice of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ther:	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 07082005

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The amendment overcomes the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 made in the last Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greist (US 965,813) in view of Branscome (US 5,624,077) and Walters et al. (US 5,875,979). Greist discloses a tumbling device with substantially all of the claimed structure including:

- a substantially hollow tumbling drum (12) supported on a base frame for rotation (column 2, lines 81-94);
- 2. an opening (for cover 12) in one of the ends of the drum (12); and
- 3. a tilt means (Figures 1 and 2).

Greist discloses a rotation means for the drum, but does not recite that it is powered. Providing a power means is an ordinary engineering design. In a closely related art, Branscome discloses a tumbling device with a power drive means (42).

Art Unit: 3725

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Greist's drum rotation means with a powered drive, as such would be a mere design choice, specifically taught by Branscome.

Greist also does not disclose a second sizing ring with a larger opening. In a closely related art pertinent to the problem, Walters discloses a second sizing ring with a larger opening in order to allow a second shifting of a larger piece (Figure 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Greist with a second sizing ring with a larger opening in order to allow a second shifting of a larger piece, as taught by Walters.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greist (US 965,813) in view of Branscome (US 5,624,077) and Walters et al. (US 5,875,979) as applied above, further in view of Suverkrop (US 5,560,551). Claims 20 calls for a feed conveyor, with an infeed and outfeed ends, supported on the base frame for longitudinal movement. In a closely related art pertinent to the problem, Suverkrop discloses feed conveyor (32), with an infeed (P1) and outfeed ends (P2, P3), supported on a base frame (30) in order to feed material to be processed (Figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Greist with a feed conveyor supported on a base frame in order to feed material to be processed, as taught by Suverkrop.

With regard to claim 21, Suverkrop's feed conveyor includes a feed hopper (24) supported on a base frame.

Art Unit: 3725

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greist (US 965,813) in view of Branscome (US 5,624,077) and Walters et al. (US 5,875,979) as applied above, further in view of Kuhmonen (US 5,248,042). Claim 23 calls for the base frame to be mounted on a mobile transport vehicle. In a closely related art pertinent to the problem, Kuhmonen discloses a base frame mounted on a mobile transport vehicle in order to provide transportability to the screen drum (Figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Greist with a base frame mounted on a mobile transport vehicle in order to provide transportability to the screen drum, as taught by Kuhmonen.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greist (US 965,813) in view of Branscome (US 5,624,077), Walters et al. (US 5,875,979), and Suverkrop (US 5,560,551) as applied above, further in view of Kuhmonen (US 5,248,042). Kuhmonen is applied as applied in claim 23.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18 and 20-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Y. Pahng whose telephone number is 571 272 4522. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 7:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571 272 4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JYP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SCHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6